

Entropic Origin of Hawking Radiation *

Arundhati Dasgupta

Department of Physics and Astronomy,

University of Lethbridge,

4401 University Drive,

Lethbridge T1K 3M4

We describe a black hole slice by a density matrix and evolve the slice in semiclassical time to search for the origin of Hawking radiation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Hall, Thiemann, Winkler and collaborators derived semiclassical states for canonical variables in loop quantum gravity (LQG) [1, 2]. Using the formulation, a ‘coherent state’ for a black hole was defined [3]. This state is sharply peaked on a ‘classical’ non-rotating black hole time slice whose points are sampled by LQG variables defined on a graph with vertices and links. The approximation is good for horizons of at least $10^3 l_p$ or higher. If one traces over the coherent state inside the horizon, one obtains a density matrix ρ . An evaluation of $-\text{Tr}(\rho \ln \rho)$ gives the entropy of the black hole to be proportional to the area of the horizon. This is a first principle derivation of entropy, it is finite and satisfies the Bekenstein-Hawking law. In [5], the density matrix was evolved in time as observed by a semiclassical observer. The quasi-local energy of Brown and York serves as the Hamiltonian to evolve the horizon from one time slice to the other. Under a Hermitian flow, the entropy remains unchanged. Allowing for vertices within the horizon to emerge from behind the horizon, one uses a non-Hermitian flow. The entropy changes under this time evolution and Hawking radiation emerges as a real effect. In this article, we take a simplified U(1) group to illustrate and explain the derivation of entropy and Hawking radiation. (*The actual black hole is described by SU(2) coherent states*). In the next section we describe the derivation of a density matrix for U(1) group to explain the origin of entropy. In the third section we evolve the system in time to show the origin of emission from the horizon.

* write up of talk given at 12th Marcel Grossmann meeting, 2009.

II. DENSITY MATRIX DERIVED FROM A U(1) COHERENT STATE

Let us take the simplified case of a U(1) abelian coherent state defined for the variables h_e, p_e which are canonically conjugate Loop Quantum Gravity variables, the e is a discrete label. The U(1) coherent state in the momentum representation is given by

$$\psi^t = \left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} e^{-p_e^2/2t} e^{-(n^2 t)/2} e^{in(\chi_e - ip_e)} \quad (1)$$

where $\exp(i\chi_e), p_e$ represent the classical holonomy and momentum of the usual loop quantum gravity variables, t controls the width of the distribution n is an integer, the momentum quantum number. If we take the product of two such states, we get

$$\psi^t = \sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}} e^{-p_{e_1}^2/2t} e^{-p_{e_2}^2/2t} e^{-(n^2 t)/2} e^{in(\chi_{e_1} - ip_{e_1})} e^{-(m^2 t)/2} e^{im(\chi_{e_2} - ip_{e_2})} \quad (2)$$

If the edges e_1 and e_2 are adjacent, then χ_{e_2}, p_{e_2} is given, by the classical eom $\chi_{e_2} = \chi_{e_2}(\chi_{e_1}), p_{e_2} = p_{e_2}(p_{e_1})$. (e.g. let us say the field in the continuum satisfies $\frac{d\chi}{dx} = \chi$, in one dimension x which is then discretised into edges of length e . For two adjacent edges, $\chi_{e_2} = \chi_{e_1} e^e$). A density matrix built from the above state will be such that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{nmm'n'} &= \left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right) e^{-p_{e_1}^2/t - p_{e_2}^2/t} e^{-(n^2 t)/2 - in(\chi_{e_1} + ip_{e_1})} e^{-(m^2 t)/2 - im(\chi_{e_2} + ip_{e_2})} \\ &\times e^{-(n'^2 t)/2 + in'(\chi_{e_1} - ip_{e_1})} e^{-(m'^2 t)/2 + im'(\chi_{e_2} - ip_{e_2})} \end{aligned}$$

The reduced density matrix obtained by tracing over the second edges would give us

$$\bar{\rho}_{nn'} = \sum_m \left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right) e^{-p_{e_1}^2/t} e^{-(n^2 t)/2} e^{-in(\chi_{e_1} + ip_{e_1})} e^{-(mt - p_{e_2})^2/t} e^{-(n'^2 t)/2} e^{in'(\chi_{e_1} - ip_{e_1})} \quad (3)$$

In the $t \rightarrow 0$ limit the sum over m collapses to a delta function in the variable mt and one obtains non-zero elements for the density matrix at $\bar{m}t = p_{e_2}$. Due to the fact that $p_{e_1}(p_{e_2}) = p_{e_1}(\bar{m}t)$, the density matrix does not factorise. In the $t \rightarrow 0$ limit, the diagonal elements of the density matrix dominate.

$$\bar{\rho}_{nn} = \delta(nt, p_{e_1}(\bar{m}t)) \quad (4)$$

This is precisely the case for the SU(2) coherent state defined in [3] for a black hole time slice. Infact equation (123) of the paper shows the density matrix as

$$\rho_{v_1 j_O j_H, j_O j_H} = |f|^2 \delta(j_O t, P_O^I) \delta(m_O t, P_O^I) \delta(j_H t, P_H) \delta(m_H t, P_H^I) \quad (5)$$

where $|f|^2$ is a normalisation constant. In the above v_1 labels a vertex outside the horizon, $j_O, m_O = -j_O, \dots, +j_O, j_H, m_H = -j_H, \dots, +j_H$ label the $SU(2)$ angular momentum numbers of the state outside the horizon and at the horizon. The state inside the horizon has been traced over. The $P_{O,H}^I$ ($I=1,2,3$) are the classical ‘momenta’ of the LQG phase space. Instead of imposing a conditional probability to ensure the correlations as was stated in [4], the correlations are naturally encoded in the delta functions. However, the classical data is not enough to uniquely determine the state outside the horizon. The P_H^I takes the $2j_H + 1$ values. There is thus a degeneracy of $2j_H + 1$ at each vertex v_1 . A calculation of $-\text{Tr}(\rho \ln \rho)$ for the product of all vertices surrounding the horizon, gives rise to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy.

III. ORIGIN OF HAWKING RADIATION

We evolve the density matrix derived in (3) using a Hamiltonian in the frame of a semiclassical observer stationed just outside the horizon.

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \tau} = [H, \rho] \quad (6)$$

where H is a Hamiltonian. This gives the reduced density matrix at a later slice $\delta\tau$ away from the initial slice at $\tau = 0$ to be $\bar{\rho}^{\delta\tau} = \bar{\rho}^0 - \frac{i}{\hbar} \delta\tau A$ where A represents the matrix elements of the $[H, \rho]$ commutator in the reduced system. Clearly the entropy in the evolved slice evaluated as $S_{\text{BH}}^{\delta\tau} = -\text{Tr}(\bar{\rho} \ln \bar{\rho})$ can be found as

$$S_{\text{BH}}^{\delta\tau} = S_{\text{BH}}^0 + \frac{i}{\hbar} \delta\tau [\text{Tr} A \ln \bar{\rho}^0 + \text{Tr} \bar{\rho}^0 \bar{\rho}^{0^{-1}} A] \quad (7)$$

We take the Brown and York quasi local energy at the horizon as the Hamiltonian in the frame of a semiclassical observer. At the horizon, it has a special form, as one can use the apparent horizon equation. For the $U(1)$ group one can approximate this by $H = \sum_v H_v = \frac{-i}{8\pi G} \sum_v C_v h_e^{-1} \beta \frac{\partial h_e}{\partial \beta} P_e + \text{Hermitian conjugate}$. We have used a derivative of the holonomy w.r.t. Immirzi parameter, which isolates the extrinsic curvature in the gauge connection of LQG defined as $A_a^I = \Gamma_a^I - \beta K_a^I$ where Γ_a^I is the spin connection, and K_a^I is the extrinsic curvature. This procedure of giving the Immirzi parameter a variable status is similar to allowing the dimension to vary in dimensional regularisations. The C_v is a set of constants for each vertex v immediately outside the horizon. One obtains [5] $S_{\text{BH}}^{\delta\tau} - S_{\text{BH}}^0 = 0$. This

is expected from classical physics. To obtain the origin of Hawking radiation, one has to allow the vertices within the horizon in one time slice to evolve to outside the horizon. The Hamiltonian is a function of derivatives of the normal vector to the constant radii surfaces and within the horizon this has imaginary components as its norm $(1 - r_g/r)$ is negative (r_g is the radius of the horizon). For U(1), the Hamiltonian at one vertex is thus $i\epsilon H_v$ where ϵ is a dimensionless constant. The operator is not Hermitian, and $A = H_v \rho - \rho H_v^\dagger$. One gets a real net change in entropy from (7). In terms of the black hole parameters, assuming that the U(1) case simulates the leading terms of the SU(2) calculation, the change in entropy is given by

$$-\delta\tau \frac{4\pi r_g \epsilon C}{l_p^2} \quad (8)$$

This is exactly the change in entropy due to emission of a particle of energy given by $G\hbar\omega = \delta\tau\epsilon C$, C is a constant, as $4\pi(4G^2(M - \hbar\omega)^2)/4l_p^2 - 4\pi G^2 M^2/l_p^2 \approx -4\pi r_g(G\hbar\omega)/l_p^2$.

-
- [1] B. Hall, J. Funct. Anal. **122** (103) (1994).
 - [2] T. Thiemann, Class. Quant. Grav. **18** 3293 (2001). T. Thiemann, O. Winkler, Class. Quant. Grav. **18** 2561 (2001).
 - [3] A. Dasgupta, JCAP 0304 008 (2003).
 - [4] A. Dasgupta, Class. Quant. Grav. **23** 635 (2006).
 - [5] A. Dasgupta, Evolving Fluctuating Horizons, *to be posted*.